

Representation Reference	Consultee / Agent	Comments on LGS1: Development on a Local Green Space	Comments on LGS2: Enhancement of Local Green Spaces	Is there a need for any additional policies to be included in the Local Green Spaces Plan?	Comments on proposed sites for designation	Comments regarding the Draft Local Green Spaces Plan
1	Chris Rees-Fitzpatrick	No	No	No	Site 61 at Acadia Drive, Melbourne should be designated as a green space to prevent development. It should be noted that this space is largely maintained by nearby residents.	No
2	Severn Trent Water (Jack Robinson)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)
3	T Cavill	My thoughts are that it is vital that green spaces are not built upon as they are good for the environment, nature and the public. We also need open spaces for people to sit and for children to play. There is too much 'concrete and clay' with the amount of land being built on that gives very little room for nature. Man is creating the monster and killing off what nature and the earth have to offer in abundance - should it be allowed? Green spaces should be left that way, or are the general public expected to live in concrete jungles?	It would be helpful if the green spaces were given seating areas and small trees could be planted in those areas that are lacking. We need our green spaces and we need to help to encourage nature to flourish.	That these spaces are not to be built on and left available for future generations.	The only site that is designated is the site in Repton, along Milton Road and opposite Springfield Road. I note that the current suggestion is that only the bottom half of the field is to be housing. How long before the top half and the field going down towards Morsom Lane is affected and housing is allowed to be built? The lane isn't built for the amount of cars that a housing estate will hold - generally 2/3 cars per household.	The playing field off Mire Drive - is this a future designated area for Repton School? If so, what happens to the play area, The Den and the land that is used for a variety of community activities. Will the council be replacing this and if so, where? Broomhills?
4	John Holloway	Why is the 9 acre Aston Brickyard Plantation north of Aston on Trent not recognised as a Green Space? This site is owned by Derbyshire County Council, actively managed by The Friends of Aston Brickyard Plantation, a voluntary community group with a 10 year management plan in place	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)
5	Jonathan Nutman	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	The area known as Aston Brickyard next to the ASO is not included. This area, looked after and being developed as a wildlife and conservation space by the Friends of Aston Brickyard, is increasingly used by the local community and is greatly valued. It's omission is therefore curious.	(Left blank)
6	Stephen Aynsley	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	Yes, can I suggest that the Brickwork Plantation at the top of Derby Road in Aston on Trent be designated as green belt land. A lot of work has been carried out by local volunteers transforming this piece of land into a wildlife and floral conservation area which needs protection	(Left blank)	(Left blank)
7	Andrew E Jackson	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	No	Please confirm the local green spaces proposed for Kings Newton	No
8	Alan Sawwell and Maxine Sawwell-Milton	Yes, Aston on Trent, Brickyard Plantation DE72 2AS situated on Derby Road is excluded from the plan.	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)
9	Elaine Holloway	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)
10	Dr A Hardman-Charles	The orchard in the very heart of Milton is a wonderful and in many ways unique aspect of the village. It's characterful stone wall serves to enhance its aesthetic appeal. This had been the case for over a hundred years.	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)
11	Russell Pollard (On behalf of resident)	In the process of communicating the latest 3 LGS, a resident identified another 2 possibilities. I've attached a map and photos (1st 2 file numbers are the corner of Bren Way) for your consideration	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)
12	Susan Goodwin	No	No	None that I am aware of	see presentation for full comments in relation to sites in Milton	Local green spaces need to be protected. With so many new homes being built in rural locations it is important some green spaces are retained for people to enjoy
13	Tony Bates	No	No	No	Yes, I feel that it is most important the site such as the Ponic area by the Canal in Willington (105 on plan) are kept as community space to enjoy for recreational purpose and as an area that reflects our rich social history.	No
14	Repton Parish Council	The policy appears well-worded	The policy appears well-worded	No	We are pleased to see all the sites listed in Repton NDP are included but would like to point out the border of space 93, Mathew's Farm, includes a garden belonging to Mr & Mrs Roberts - see boundary agreed for NDP for correction	(Left blank)
15	Highways England	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	Email confirms that Highways England are no longer landowner for site 40 and site 50
16	John Peter Watkins	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	Yes with respect to Milton 1. The Orchard and Grass Verge - Site number 155. This provides an asset to residents and visitors for local clubs and events for village fund raising purposes. The grass verge is just sufficient to erect temporary stalls and gazebos. The orchard is well stocked with a large variety of mature fruit trees a rare asset locally. In the past villagers have been permitted to pick the fruit to raise funds for the village. The local environment benefits from the trees and open rural views. 2. The Triangle/Village Green Site number 164. This space provides an asset for walkers and especially the elderly who can rest on the seat in the space during a walk. Many walkers use this area to eat lunch on the bench provided. The planter on this space which recently been provided by the parish boosts the aesthetic appearance of the Village.	(Left blank)
17	Giff David S	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	*May I add the POS on the Taylor Wimpey/Barratt Homes/Miller Homes estate on Stenson Road the following: • The POS adjacent to the play area/Community facility on Chartley Road/Merewale Way • The POS adjacent to the railway line mid North West of the estate Harebell Lane/Earls Drive • The POS at the northern end of the estate - Earls Drive	(Left blank)
18	Abbott, Michael Harry	none	none	no	Summary - support for Local Green Space in Milton, due to it's historic value, community events, community planting including tree planting, it's maintenance by the Village Improvement Group and because it is one of the few green spaces in Milton	none
19	Steel, Ian	The policy appears well-written	The policy appears well-written	no	I am pleased to see all the sites listed including the Orchard and Grass Verge - Site number 155 and the Triangle/Village Green Site number 164. The Orchard and Grass Verge site number 155 is an important part of the Milton hamlet and in 2015 the Village Hall Committee nominated the wide grass verge immediately in front of the Orchard, as an Asset of Community Value. The reasons being this area is often used for village social events and is a focal point of the village. The Triangle/Village Green site number 164 is an important part of the Milton engage by young and old enjoying rural life and the countryside.	No
20	Derbyshire Wildlife Trust (Taylor, Trevor)	Generally supportive but would favour the wording to provide a stronger level of protection such as: Development that would harm the openness and special character of the Local Green Space or its significance and value to the local community would not be permitted unless there are very special circumstances that outweigh the harm. The Council will support development where it: A. Protects, restores and enhances biodiversity or access to biodiversity; B. Comprises signage or advertisements for tourism, community or leisure purposes. C. Consists of replacement or extended built facilities that are not disproportionately larger than the existing building	Welcome and support the proposed wording of policy LGS2. We particularly welcome the use of such sites to enhance local biodiversity and fully support the registration and use of such sites as receptor sites to offset biodiversity impacts elsewhere.	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	We have considered the following sites but we have no comments to make from an ecology perspective: 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 15, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 27, 29, 40, 43, 44, 45, 46, 50, 55, 58, 59, 60, 61, 70, 77, 84, 87, 89, 95, 96, 98, 100, 101, 111, 112, 114, 124, 127, 129, 130, 135, 136, 138, 139, 149, 150, 152, 155, 164, 169, 171, 172, 174, 175, 177, 179, 180.
21	Cullen, Paul	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	Support for sites 105, 107, 110 and 111. Summary - Site 105 locally known as Willington Ponic Site which is a Public Open Space and part of the Trent and Mersey Canal Conservation Area. It is important to the overall character and identity of the village and is enjoyed by the wider community for various pastimes including picnicking, walking, dog walking, cycling, angling and narrow boating. The Trent and Mersey Canal Conservation Area Character Statement makes reference to the significant and demonstrable local historical importance of site. Summary - Site 107 North of Twyford Road, Willington, the site is used extensively by Willington Football Club as well as for annual community events. Summary - Site 110 and Site 111 Both of these areas are valuable community assets providing safe play areas for young children.	(Left blank)
22	Knight, Arran	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	Site reference: 150 (land off Elmhurst, Egginton) The provision of this parcel of land for public use and benefits is considered important. To formally designate the land would give 'inclusive' access for users of varying mobility ranges, not just for those who can cross the low boundary fencing. While it is a rural village, with countryside beyond, access to green open space within the village settlement boundary is very limited. The proposed designation would offer recreational space for local residents and address the lack of localised provision. There is evidence of desire lines across the green space which suggests the land is already being (informally) recreationally used by the local population. There could be community benefits arising from the designation whereby local residents actively participate/involvement in its upkeep etc. There are strong social and environmental benefits associated with the prospective designation, as described above. Moreover, to allocate the land as green space would safeguard the provision of a natural feature for water attenuation purposes at times of surface water flooding / land drainage reasons. The land is geographically well connected to the population it would be intended to serve. If the County deem it to be surplus to requirements, then there is strong material justification to allocate the site as a local green space for all to use, enjoy and benefit.	(Left blank)

23	Cuddington, Charles	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	The survey also had an open question where respondents could suggest further LGS. There were 145 positive comments, some of which identified specific sites. So, in addition to the LGS identified in the consultation, the survey results identified a further 5 which Russell emailed to you, i.e. Bancroft Close, Willowfields, Foss Road, Bren Way and Enfield Close. At the meeting we also requested that you look at the green space off Egginton Road as shown on the attached.  In conclusion and on behalf of the Hilton, Marston and Hoon Neighbourhood Plan, we believe that all the above identified LGS meet all the requirements of the LGS consultation and therefore should be designated accordingly. Summary - support for sites put forward in Etwall and asking for an extension to site 40 to include the triangular area of land to the North.	In our survey, there were 928 respondents in total. There were 854 responses to the question on Local Green Spaces of which 814 or 95% supported all those LGS identified at that time.
24	Etwall Parish Council	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)		(Left blank)
25	Faulmer, Polly				Milton site 155, Orchard and Verge. The wide Grass Verge opposite the Village Hall is an important 'extension' to the hall. The hall itself does not have a large outside area and relies on the Verge for extra space at functions such as Open Gardens. It is also used as a gathering point for organised walks and other activities. The Village Improvement group use the Verge as a meeting point for periodic sessions on litter picking and other improvements. This group also organised the secure installation of a wooden bench much used by walkers. Local residents mow and plant the Verge. A listed stone wall divides the Verge from the Orchard. Milton is a single road village and the Orchard is a much appreciated space between buildings. Historically it has been used by the village, recently by gathering the fruit and at one time was divided into allotments for residents. Milton site 164 - Village Green. To my knowledge the Green had been used for November 5 bonfires for many years. Trees were planted on the Green but it became damaged by vehicles. The residents of Milton helped to restore it 14 years ago and it is now planted with more trees, some in memory of children and loved ones that have passed away. There is also a bench there, again securely installed by the Village, and used by cyclists and walkers. In my opinion these sites need protecting for future generations of Milton residents. Please find attached our plan 2/22 & 1/23 plan 1 which shows the land which is owned by myself and my wife Michelle which includes the Orchard part of LGS 155. In accordance with paragraph 4b p7 of the consultation document we confirm we would like our orchard to be removed from consideration as local green space.	
26	Buttrill, Darryn and Buttrill, Michelle	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)		(Left blank)
27	Cullen, Joe	I believe it is imperative that designated Local Green Spaces are not developed on, one of the Local open Green Spaces at Willington is in a conservation area, and quite a lot of the land has already been used for commercial use	Local Green Spaces should be for the community to enjoy and not give them to company's to exploit for gain, when there is no enhancement for the local community	SDDC should have a policy that Local Green Spaces cannot be leased off to private companies for gain, against the will of the community.	The sites at Willington that are designated are a bit of a joke when one of the sites has already been allowed to be developed.	if SDCC designate a Local Green Space then they should do everything in their power to make sure that these, are not given away, for companies to use for profit, which is detrimental to the local community
28	Cassia, Barbara	I think it is important to protect open spaces for the health of the local residents.	Some areas in willington need to be improved - e.g. poor footpath access in some places. Very poor footpath signage in Willington.		Designated sites in willington are good - I would hope that the two playing fields would never be in danger.	I am concerned about the land south of the canal near the Dragon pub as they seem to be taking over more and more of the public area.
29	Chadha, Adrian (Highways England)	Highways England has been appointed by the Secretary of State for Transport as strategic highway company under the provisions of the Infrastructure Act 2015 and is the highway authority, traffic authority and street authority for the Strategic Road Network (SRN). It is our role to maintain the safe and efficient operation of the SRN whilst acting as a delivery partner to national economic growth. In relation to South Derbyshire District, our principal interest is safeguarding the operation of the A59, A50 and A6 which all route through the borough. As the Local Green Spaces Plan primarily relates to sites outside the remit of Highways England we do not have any specific comments to provide. However, we support the notion to make the best use of existing infrastructure in order to preserve and enhance the local green spaces within the district.	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)
30	Brown, Sharon (Melbourne Festival)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	Melbourne Festival regularly use the Senior Citizens Centre (Site 84) for activities, as well as over the Art & Architecture Trail weekend. The Green Space including the Bowling Green makes it a bright open building and would be greatly diminished if the area lost its Green designation. Using some of the money from Heritage Lottery Funds Grant which Melbourne Festival received in 2018 for our FOR THE LOVE OF LETTUCE project we installed raised beds to grow vegetables alongside the bowling green and the corner of Castle Street and Church Street. These have become a source of local interest people always stop to see what is growing and talk about the garden. The loss of the bowling green, the raised beds and surrounding area would be a big loss. Please fight to keep the few Green Spaces we still have.	Melbourne's green spaces are fast disappearing under housing and more and more of the old Market Garden land is also changing use to housing. The few Green Spaces still in Melbourne are important to all of us and help to create the character of Melbourne.
31	Brown, Sharon	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	We are a medieval town, green spaces are important to keep the character of the place. It is important that places such as the old tennis court, the recreation grounds, the grass in the middle of Spinney Hill, at the end of the Woodlands and the small park in Grange Close are really important in giving children a place to play. The recreation ground and the bowling greens at Kings Newton and the corner of Church Street and Castle Street are all popular and used by lots of people. The green space outside of the Senior Citizens Centre is appreciated by the users of the building, many of whom no longer care for a garden of their own.	The few Green Spaces still in Melbourne are important to all of us and help to create the character of Melbourne.
32	Hartshorne Village Residents Association, Jim Gosden (Alec James Gosden)	This policy appears sensible where Local Green Spaces on rare occasions are linked to sports facilities.	Any enhancement should do nothing to degrade the biodiversity of the site e.g. 'over-tidying' by trimming of hedges, bushes or small trees/saplings should be avoided unless growth is limiting public access.	No	Site 122 - The Hartshorne Village Residents Association fully supports the SDCC proposal to designate site 122 as LGS. The site has always been special to the village. I has an abundance of flora and fauna running as it does by the Repton brook. It is accessed daily by local people footpath 07 and is an "ancient", it predating the Enclosures Acts. The site is peaceful and tranquil, free from road noise, particularly at the footbridge which crosses Repton brook. Site 123. The HWRA fully concurs with the proposal to designate this small triangular garden road island.	No
33	Erewash Borough Council (Poison, Evis)	No further comments on behalf of EBC	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)
34	Hilton Parish Council (Orme, Clare)	South Derbyshire District Council will need to be proactive and on the ball in make sure that where any development is proposed in the future on local green space, no matter what it is for. That the developer or whomever demonstrates that very special circumstances exist in the first instance. Development should never affect the openness or essential qualities of our local green space, we have very little as it is so what we do have is very valuable to the local community.	On paper the proposals of working with Landowners, Parish Council, DC and other stakeholders to ensure the appropriate management of local green spaces is good, providing this works well in practice. In past experience communication between SDCC and Parish Councils has been lacking and frustrating.	No	All pieces of local green space designated are demonstrably special to our Local Community, sufficient to warrant their designation as local green space. There is very little open green space in Hilton and all proposed designated areas, marked on map 7: Hilton Local Green Space, are all very valuable resources for the local community and all have special importance and value to our residents. They are all easily accessible pieces of local green space in close proximity to densely populated areas. For information the Hilton Parish Council Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group have also met with SDCC and in that meeting, Kevin Edley was keen to understand the results of their survey in terms of the Local Green Spaces. As a result Hilton Parish Council understands that more green spaces have been added to the list in the consultation and, as agreed with Kevin, a formal response has been sent from the Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group indicating the weight of support from the survey.	(Left blank)
35	Melbourne Estate (Fisher German, Scott O'Dell)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	Summary - Objection to the inclusion of site 84 - The Bowling Green, Melbourne. It is considered that the proposed LGS has a functional character but is not special in terms of its beauty, that it does not benefit from any historical significance, that it only has recreational benefits to those members of the private Senior Citizens Centre, it is not tranquil because it is adjacent to two roads and the site is not covered by any wildlife designations. It is stated that the bowling green and Senior Citizens Centre are privately owned and therefore their use could cease.	(Left blank)
36	Melbourne Civic Society	No	No	No	We are not sure that there's been much point to this exercise for Melbourne. All careful inclusions have been dropped by objections from landowners or your interpretation of the legislation/advice. Most of the ones left in are incidental odds and ends of land which no one could do much with anyway. Sadly we (and you) were landed with a poorly thought out initiative claimed to increase local participation in planning decisions which has expended a lot of our time (and yours) and very little has come of it.  We submitted a much longer list of sites which disappointingly have been whittled down. We do welcome the inclusion of the Holy Well at Kings Newton as this site, which we care for, needs protection. Would this designation ensure and protect public access? We are not sure and would like to hear from you about this.	

		In Milton we have 2 nominated green spaces: 155 is the area opposite the Village Hall and the orchard behind the boundary wall of Common Farm. When the planning permission was sought to develop Common Farm a large number of people objected to the demolishing of the wall and the orchard as this was seen to be changing the character of the Grade 2 listed farm. Now that the farm has been developed into residential properties this is still important. The orchard framed the buildings in their context of farm buildings and retaining it, rather than converting it to a garden, keeps the spirit of a village made up of farms alive. The verge adjacent to the wall is again key to retaining the village aspect and is regularly used as a meeting place for events like village walks and stalls during the open garden event. It is regularly maintained and improved by members of the village and is cherished by all. Site 164 is again much loved by the village with a bench for walkers to rest on and is again regularly maintained by members of the village. Both sites now have planters provided by the parish council but again watered, and loved, by members of the village.	See above. I am not clear what the difference is between LGS 3 & 2. The triangle area contains the essential green spaces in Milton. The bench on the triangle and the bench in front of the orchard and directly opposite the Village Hall are used frequently. These spaces are part of our village.	not currently	Both sites should be retained to ensure the character of the village is maintained.	Both sites should be retained to ensure the character of the village is maintained.	
37	Le Flock, James						
38	White, Susan	In Milton there is very little green space so we need to preserve what we have for future generations.		Just to protect local green spaces from development for the future generations	Over the years many houses have been demolished and rebuilt on. The two sites in Milton are the only remaining parts of our hamlet. We need to keep our village history intact and preserve our heritage.	Small hamlets need to keep their identity and even though there are no working farms left Milton is still very rural, small and very much deserves to remain a hamlet.	
39	Historic England (Worrall, Rosamund)	Historic England welcomes the provisions and intentions of the Plan, including Policies LGS1 and LGS2, and considers the Plan provides opportunities for conserving and enhancing the historic environment overall.	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	
40	Gladman Developments (Fleming, John)	Summary - concerned regarding the Council's methodology which has informed the selection of sites. In consideration of whether a site is considered to be "an extensive tract of land" the LGS states "It is considered that any sites in excess of 5 hectares could constitute an extensive tract of land". The threshold of 5ha is concerning as sites smaller in size (i.e. 4ha) would be considered acceptable in terms of meeting the third test. In the example provided, it is our belief that a site of this scale should be considered an extensive tract of land. Furthermore, by selecting such a high threshold it would set a precedent that such a figure would be appropriate for LGS designation and may have implications for local communities progressing neighbourhood plans selecting sites which are extensive tracts of land which could instead be better served to meet development needs.	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	Summary - Gladman recommend that the Council revises its methodology in terms of the assessment of land against the third LGS test.	(Left blank)	
41	Marrons Planning (David Wood) on behalf of Linden Homes	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	Land at Jawbone Lane (Ref: 65) Linden Homes, who have a controlling interest in the land, are supportive of the conclusion made by South Derbyshire DC in respect of the land at Jawbone Lane that states the site is not deemed suitable for designation as Local Green Space. Further evidence to support this conclusion is contained in the attached representations to the Neighbourhood Plan and the Appeal Decision in respect of part of the land.	(Left blank)	
42	Mather Jamie on behalf of The Harpur Crews LLC (Biddell, Amy)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	Summary - Objection to the inclusion of site 135 in Ticknall due to it not meeting the requirements to be considered "demonstrably special to the local community". It is considered that the land is an odd expanse of grass verge as quoted in the District Council's conservation area appraisal, that it has no historic significance as it is left over parcel of land, it does not have recreational value. It is not tranquil because the lower part site abuts the busy A534 and there is little potential for any special wildlife value. Site 144: Letters to County Council Officers - response that this site may be used for highway improvements, the site is included in the County's register of village greens. Site 125: I have been told that this site was claimed as a village green in the 1950s.	(Left blank)	
43	Jones, Alan	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)		(Left blank)	
44	Sport England (Catts, Helen)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	Policy LGS1 - Paragraphs A and E could potentially be overly restrictive and inhibit the sport potential of sites, especially those of a scale where enhanced facilities such as new/improved clubhouse, fencing and/or sports lighting may be needed. Paragraph E, in particular could limit the scale of any extended/replacement clubhouse, and the question of scale is already dealt with under paragraph A, so Paragraph E duplicates Paragraph A to some extent and could also be considered to be overly prescriptive. Also, the Local Plan already has safeguarding policies, including, BNEB as well as those concerning the protection of heritage assets, and so additional policies may not be necessary.	Sites 39, 129 and 107 are all formal playing field sites in use for cricket and/or football, and of a size where some further enhancement may reasonably be expected in the future. For example, the recently adopted Playing Pitch Strategy for South Derbyshire includes an action plan objective to improve ancillary facilities at Ewall Cricket Ground (Site 39). Have some concern that the additional policies restricting development on designated sites could constrain the further enhancement of the sites to meet sport objectives.	(Left blank)
45	Natural England (Cox, Krishna)	I have referred to the consultation of Draft Plan and note that the Plan has been identified as unlikely to have any discernible effect on the River Mease Special Area of Conservation (SAC). We support the aim of the Council to remain committed to working with the Environment Agency, Natural England, Severn Trent Water and adjoining Planning Authorities to improve water quality in the Mease Catchment.	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	There is one local green space located in the catchment of the River Mease. The remainder (65 sites) are located outside of the catchment. It is noted that the site located in the catchment is site 168 (Linton Orchard) and consists of a community orchard located in the southern part of Linton. It lies on the watershed for the catchment and is 4km from the SAC. Given that this LGS Plan seeks to ensure that this site is retained as a community orchard its designation is unlikely to have any effect on the SAC.	There is no likely significant impact on any SPAs or SACs located outside of the District as a result of implementing the LGS Plan. It is noted that the designation of local green spaces will not increase surface water or foul water and would be unlikely to have any effect on water quality within the SAC.	
46	Skipper, Avril	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	Yes I believe Ref. No. 155 Common Farm garden/orchard should be designated. This site meets all criteria and is vital if the essence of Milton is to be preserved and not only the original 1974 Conservation Area but the recent substantial extension of that area not looking back in time and purpose. Milton is and has for at least 900 hundred years possibly over a millennia being defined by the word hamlet or in old English berewite. This site together with the much smaller old Common Farm northern garden is the only remaining green break in this linear brick and stone hamlet. If this and the other garden which provide views into the countryside beyond - are lost what will be left is a very non-rural tunnel of brick and stone surmounted by roofs of slate and tile, topped by an avenue of chimney pots. Also designated should be the Triangle of verge at the Junction of Main Street and the 'old' way to Mount Pleasant which in the 1950's was a village gathering point for bonfires and such and is now a place of rest and recreation for some of the many walkers we see; and the verge in front of the Orchard which lies opposite the village hall and acts as an extension for that small but increasingly used hall and site for various village events. The size of these sites and the size of Milton mean they are all well with several of the various criteria for designation	(Left blank)	
47	Hartshome Parish Council (Smith, Richard)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	site ref. 122 land adjoining the Mill Wheel, the Parish Council is in agreement with the proposal. site ref. 124 land at the junction of Brook Street and Repton Road, again the Parish Council is in agreement with the proposal however it believes that this small plot of land is in the ownership of the Parish Council. site ref. 125 land at Boundary at the junction of Manchester Lane and Heath Lane, the Parish Council is disappointed with proposal however they appreciate the remoteness of the site.	(Left blank)	
48	Derbyshire County Council (Dale, David)	Concern that sites are being included in the LGS Plan that have been identified as potential Educational sites by DCC. Case that very special circumstances should not have to be put forward by DCC. Full comments see rep.	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	Objections to the inclusion of sites 93,105 and 150. (Site 150 was allocated in a previous Local Plan and is still required as part of the County-wide school places planning strategy)	DCC has no comments on the choice of Green Spaces; however, any development, change of use, or enhancement within any of the Green Spaces should not increase the rate or volume of surface water runoff from its current rate.	
49	Gordon, Paul	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	(Left blank)	58: a local playing area (within residential area) for local kids, used for dogwalkers, in area with no nearby alternatives. It has mature trees and mown grass, and enhances the nature of the street which has no other trees or grassed areas on the pavements. 60: this is of significant local historical importance as the site of an old washpit and well, plus it has a greening effect to break up the brick terraces and enhance the street scene. 70: the Holywell is of significant local historical and touristic appeal, a focal point for local walkers, and a quiet green space for enjoyment. 81-83: these cemeteries are all of a delightful character amongst mainly fairly densely built up areas with little other opportunity for relaxing and resting in a quiet green, local space. Locals should be afforded these without having to travel out of town. 84: the bowling green maintains a pleasant street scene on both Castle Street and Church Street by adding greenery and letting light in. The senior citizens centre is adjacent and the seniors benefit from this effect. It is also used by a variety of people all through the year and every year is a central venue for music and other activities during the Melbourne Festival. 85: Queensway green triangle. Local kids routinely play on this area, and Queensway is quite a built-up area with a deficiency of greenery conducive to good health. 87: this is a vital and busy play area for young children, with no alternatives within easy walking distance.	Yes. This questionnaire is very badly arranged. I still don't know what on earth LGS1 and LGS2 are. These obscure references do not allow me to identify the appropriate documentation. Hence I have been unable to review these or comment on them. I consider this to seriously jeopardise the legitimacy of the consultation.	